## Nu and wix

## Lecture 19: <br> Clustering

## Study Chapter 10.1-10.3

## Applications of Clustering



- Viewing and analyzing vast amounts of biological data as a whole set can be perplexing
- It is often easier to interpret data if they are partitioned into similar subgroups.
- Such similar groups are "clusters"



## Inferring Gene Functionality



- Researchers often want to know the functions of newly sequenced genes
- Comparing the new gene sequences to known DNA sequences often does not give away the function of gene
- For $40 \%$ of sequenced genes, functionality cannot be ascertained using only comparisons to sequences of other known genes
- Microarrays allow biologists to infer gene function even when sequence similarity alone is insufficient to infer function.


## Microarrays and Expression Analysis

- Microarrays compare the activity (expression level) of the genes
- Under varying conditions (e.g.. with and w/o disease)
- At different time points
- In different tissues
- Expression level is estimated by measuring the amount of mRNA for that particular gene
- A gene is active if it is being transcribed
- More mRNA usually indicates more gene activity


## Microarray Experiments



- Produce cDNA from mRNA (DNA is more stable)
- Attach phosphor to cDNA to see when a particular gene is expressed
- Different color phosphors are available to compare many samples at once
- Hybridize cDNA over the microarray
- Scan the microarray with a phosphorilluminating laser
- Illumination reveals transcribed genes
- Scan microarray multiple times for the different color phosphor's


## Microarray


Missue 1

## Clustering Dimension




## Clustering Microarray Data



- Easier to interpret if partitioned into "gene" or "sample" clusters
- Conceptually we could treat each gene in N arrays as a point in N-dimensional space
- Make a distance matrix for the distance between every two gene points in the N -dimensional space
- Genes with a small distance share the same expression characteristics and might be functionally related or similar.
- Clustering reveal groups of functionally related genes


## Clustering of Microarray Data (cont'd)



| Time | 1 hr | 2 hr | 3 hr |  | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 910 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 91 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 91 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 9.2 | 7.7 | 9.3 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 10.2 | 6.1 | 7.0 |
| $g_{2}$ | 10.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | $g_{2}$ | 8.1 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 0.9 | 12.0 | 9.5 | 10.1 | 12.8 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| 93 | 4.0 | 8.5 | 3.0 | 93 | 9.2 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 0.7 | 11.1 | 8.1 | 1.1 | 10.5 | 11.5 |
| 94 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 8.5 | 94 | 7.7 | 0.9 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 12.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| 95 | 4.5 | 8.5 | 2.5 | 95 | 9.3 | 12.0 | 0.7 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 8.5 | 1.0 | 10.6 | 11.6 |
| 96 | 10.5 | 9.0 | 12.0 | 96 | 2.3 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 9.2 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 12.1 | 7.7 | 8.5 |
| 97 | 5.0 | 8.5 | 11.0 | 97 | 5.1 | 10.1 | 8.1 | 9.5 | 8.5 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 8.3 | 9.3 |
| 98 | 2.7 | 8.7 | 2.0 | 98 | 10.2 | 12.8 | 1.1 | 12.0 | 1.0 | 12.1 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 12.4 |
| 99 | 9.7 | 2.0 | 9.0 | 95 | 6.1 | 2.0 | 10.5 | 1.6 | 10.6 | 7.7 | 8.3 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| 910 | 10.2 | 1.0 | 9.2 | 910 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 11.5 | 1.1 | 11.6 | 8.5 | 9.3 | 12.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 |

(a) Intensity matric, I
(b) Distance matric, d

(c) Exprescion patterns as points in throe-dimentsional space.

## Homogeneity and Separation Principles



- Homogeneity: Elements within a cluster are close to each other
- Separation: Elements in different clusters tend to be further apart from each other
- ...clustering is not an easy task!

Given these points a clustering algorithm $\longrightarrow$ might make two distinct clusters

## Bad Clustering


This clustering violates both Homogeneity and Separation principles


## Good Clustering


This clustering satisfies both Homogeneity and Separation principles


## Clustering Techniques and Terms



- Hierarchical: Organize elements into a tree, leaves represent genes and the length of the paths between leaves represents the distances between genes. Similar genes lie within the same subtrees.
- Agglomerative: Start with every element in its own cluster, and iteratively join clusters together
- Divisive: Start with one cluster and iteratively divide it into smaller clusters
- Optimization based: Determine point sets that attempt to minimize distances within clusters (homogeneity) or maximize distances between clusters (separation)
- K-means, K-mediods, Vector Quantization (VQ)
- Dendrogram: A tree representation of clustering, where one dimension is metric and others are some meaningful ordering of the points being clustered


## Hierarchical Clustering: Example

 Agglomerative: Start with each point as a cluster, Join closest two clusters, Form a new cluster using the joined clusters, Repeat.


## Hierarchical Clustering: Example

 Assigning Clusters: Establish a threshold of joining distance. Remove all clusters above it.


## Agglomerative Issues


-Which clusters to join?

- Distance based
- Cluster means
- Closest pair
- Closest to mediod (most centrally located point in cluster)
- Variance based
- Minimize residuals of a model fit
- Closest after projection onto axis with greatest variance



## Hierarchical Clustering Algorithm



1. Hierarchical Clustering $(d, n)$
2. Form $n$ clusters each with one element
3. Construct a graph $T$ by assigning one vertex to each cluster
4. while there is more than one cluster
5. Find the two closest clusters $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$
6. Merge $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ into new cluster $C$ with $/ C_{1}|+| C_{2} /$ elements
7. $\quad$ Compute distance from $C$ to all other clusters
8. Add a new vertex $C$ to $T$ and connect to vertices $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$
9. Remove rows and columns of $d$ corresponding to $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$
10. Add a row and column to $\boldsymbol{d}$ corresponding to the new cluster $\boldsymbol{C}$
11. return $T$

The algorithm takes an $n \times n$ distance matrix $\boldsymbol{d}$ of pairwise distances between points as an input.

## Hierarchical Clustering: Example

 Divisive: Start with a single cluster composed of all points, Choose largest cluster, Split or partition it based on any metric.


## Divisive Issues



- Advantage: Terminates when objective is met
- A target number of clusters
- Minimize size/variance of largest cluster
- Achieves a desired separation metric between clusters
- Division Criteria
- Minimize distance between the separating hyperplane and the closest point to each cluster
- Minimize residual variance


## Hierarchical Clustering (cont'd)



- Hierarchical Clustering is often used to construct trees for explaining evolutionary history



## Hierarchical Clustering Algorithm



1. Hierarchical Clustering $(d, n)$
2. Form $n$ clusters each with one element
3. Construct a graph $T$ by assigning one vertex to each cluster
4. while there is more than one cluster
5. Find the two closest clusters $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$
6. $\quad$ Merge $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ into new cluster $C$ with $/ C_{1}|+| C_{2} /$ elements
7. Compute distance from $C$ to all other clusters
8. Add a new vertex $C$ to $T$ and connect to vertices $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$
9. Remove rows and columns of $d$ corresponding to $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$
10. Add a row and column to $d$ corresponding to the new cluster $C$
11. return $T$

## Different definitions of "distances between clusters" may lead to different clusterings

## Hierarchical Clustering: Recomputing Distances



- $d_{\min }\left(C, C^{*}\right)=\min d(x, y)$ for all elements $x$ in $C$ and $y$ in $C^{*}$

- Distance between two clusters is the smallest distance between any pair of their elements
- $d_{\text {avg }}\left(C, C^{*}\right)=\left(1 /\left|C^{*}\right||C|\right) \sum d(x, y)$ for all elements $x$ in $C$ and $y$ in $C^{*}$

- Distance between two clusters is the average distance between all pairs of their elements


## Optimization-based Approaches



- Need a function to optimize- "Squared-Error Distortion"
- Given a data point $\boldsymbol{v}$ and a set of points $\boldsymbol{X}$, define the distance from $\boldsymbol{v}$ to $\boldsymbol{X}$

$$
d(v, \boldsymbol{X})
$$

as the (Euclidean) distance from $\boldsymbol{v}$ to the closest point from $\boldsymbol{X}$.

- Given a set of $n$ data points $\boldsymbol{V}=\left\{v_{l} \ldots v_{n}\right\}$ and a set of $k$ points $\boldsymbol{X}$, define the Squared Error Distortion

$$
d(\boldsymbol{V}, \boldsymbol{X})=\sum d\left(v_{i}, \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{2} / n \quad 1 \leq i \leq n
$$

## K-Means Clustering Problem: Formulation



- Input: A set, $V$, consisting of $n$ points and a parameter $k$
- Output: A set $X$ consisting of $k$ points (cluster centers) that minimizes the squared error distortion $d(\boldsymbol{V}, \boldsymbol{X})$ over all possible choices of $\boldsymbol{X}$


## 1-Mean Clustering Problem: an Easy Case



- Input: A set, $V$, consisting of $n$ points
- Output: A single point $x$ (cluster center) that minimizes the squared error distortion $d(V, x)$ over all possible choices of $x$
$x$ is just the centroid (mean) of all points
1-Mean Clustering problem is easy.
However, it becomes very difficult (NP-complete) for more than one center.

An efficient heuristic method for K-Means clustering is the Lloyd algorithm

## K-Means Clustering: Lloyd Algorithm



1. Lloyd Algorithm
2. Arbitrarily assign the $k$ cluster centers
3. while the cluster centers keep changing
4. Assign each data point to the cluster $C_{i}$ corresponding to the closest cluster representative (center) ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ )
5. After the assignment of all data points, compute new cluster representatives according to the center of gravity of each cluster, that is, the new cluster representative is

$$
\Sigma v /|C| \text { for all } v \text { in } C \text { for every cluster } C
$$

*This may lead to merely a locally optimal clustering.





## Conservative K-Means Algorithm



- Lloyd algorithm is fast but in each iteration it moves many data points, not necessarily converging.
- A more conservative method would be to move one point at a time only if it improves the overall clustering cost
- The smaller the clustering cost of a partition of data points is the better that clustering is
Different methods (e.g., the squared error distortion) can be used to measure this clustering cost


## K-Means "Greedy" Algorithm



1. ProgressiveGreedyK-Means(k)
2. Select an arbitrary partition $P$ into $k$ clusters
3. while forever
4. bestChange $\leftarrow 0$
5. for every cluster $C$
6. for every element $i$ not in $C$
7. if moving $i$ to cluster $C$ reduces its clustering cost
8. if $\left(\operatorname{cost}(P)-\operatorname{cost}\left(P_{i \rightarrow C}\right)>\right.$ bestChange
9. bestChange $\leftarrow \operatorname{cost}(P)-\operatorname{cost}\left(P_{i} \rightarrow C\right)$
10. $\quad i^{*} \leftarrow 1$
11. $\quad C^{*} \leftarrow C$
12. if bestChange $>0$
13. Change partition $P$ by moving $i^{*}$ to $C^{*}$
14. else
15. return $P$

## Are there better algorithms?

 - Yes!

