


Virtual Memory

I wish we were still doing NAND gates...

Finally! A lecture on something I understand
- PAGE FAULTS!

Problem: Translate
VIRTUAL ADDRESS
to PHYSICAL ADDRESS




```
int VtoP(int VPageNo, int PO) {  
    if (R[VPageNo] == 0)  
        PageFault(VPageNo);  
    return (PPN[VPageNo] << p) | PO;  
}  
  
/* Handle a missing page... */  
void PageFault(int VPageNo) {  
    int i;  
  
    i = SelectLRUPage();  
    if (D[i] == 1)  
        WritePage(DiskAdr[i], PPN[i]);  
    R[i] = 0;  
  
    PPN[VPageNo] = PPN[i];  
    ReadPage(DiskAdr[VPageNo], PPN[i]);  
    R[VPageNo] = 1;  
    D[VPageNo] = 0;  
}
```



Study Chapter 5.4-5.5

You can never be too rich, too good looking,
or have too much memory!



Now that we know how to FAKE a FAST memory, we'll turn our attention
to FAKING a LARGE memory.

Lessons from History...

There is only one mistake that can be made in computer design that is difficult to recover from—not having enough address bits for memory addressing and memory management.

Gordon Bell and Bill Strecker
speaking about the PDP-11 in 1976


A partial list of successful machines that eventually starved to death for lack of address bits includes the PDP 8, PDP 10, PDP 11, Intel 8080, Intel 8086, Intel 80186, Intel 80286, Motorola 6800, AMI 6502, Zilog Z80, Cray-1, and Cray X-MP.

Hennessy & Patterson

Why? Address size determines minimum width of anything that can hold an address: PC, registers, memory words, HW for address arithmetic (branches/jumps, loads/stores). When you run out of address space it's time for a new ISA!

Squandering Address Space

Address Space



STACK: How much to reserve? (consider RECURSION!)

HEAP: N variable-size data records...
Bound N? Bound Size?


OBSERVATIONS:

- Can't BOUND each usage... without compromising use.
- Actual use is SPARSE
- Working set even MORE sparse

CODE, large monolithic programs (eg, Office, Firefox)....

- only small portions might be used
- add-ins and plug-ins
- shared libraries/DLLs
-

Extending the Memory Hierarchy



So far, we've used **SMALL** fast memory + **BIG** slow memory to fake a **BIG FAST** memory (caching).

Can we combine RAM and DISK to fake DISK sized at near RAM speeds?

VIRTUAL MEMORY

- use of RAM as cache to much larger storage pool, on slower devices
- **TRANSPARENCY** - VM locations "look" the same to program whether on DISK or in RAM.
- **ISOLATION** of actual RAM size from software.

Virtual Memory

ILLUSION: Huge memory
 (2^{32} (4G) bytes? 2^{64} (18E) bytes?)

- 2^{30} "Giga"
- 2^{40} "Terra"
- 2^{50} "Peta"
- 2^{60} "Exa"



ACTIVE USAGE: small fraction
 (2^{24} bytes?)



Actual HARDWARE:

- 2^{31} (2G) bytes of RAM
- 2^{39} (500G) bytes of DISK...
 ... maybe more, maybe less!


ELEMENTS OF DECEIT:

- Partition memory into manageable chunks-- "**Pages**" (4K-8K-16K-64K)
- MAP a few to RAM, assign others to DISK
- Keep "**HOT**" pages in RAM.

Memory Management Unit



Simple Page Map Design



FUNCTION: Given Virtual Address,

- Map to PHYSICAL address

OR

- Cause **PAGE FAULT** allowing page replacement

Why use HIGH address bits to index pages?


... LOCALITY.

Keeps related data on same page.


Why use LOW address bits to index cache lines?

... LOCALITY.

Keeps related data from competing for same cache lines.



Virtual Memory vs. Cache




CACHE:


- Relatively short blocks (16-64 bytes)
- Few lines: scarce resource
- miss time: 3x-20x hit time

VIRTUAL MEMORY:

- Disk: long latency, fast xfer
 - miss time: $\sim 10^5$ x hit time
 - write-back essential!
 - large pages in RAM
- Lots of lines: one for each page
- Vpage mapping is determined by an index (i.e. “direct-mapped” w/o tag) data in physical memory



Virtual Memory: A H/W view




Pagemap Characteristics:

- One entry per virtual page!
- RESIDENT bit = 1 for pages stored in RAM, or 0 for non-resident (disk or unallocated). Page fault when R = 0.
- Contains PHYSICAL page number (PPN) of each resident page
- DIRTY bit says we've changed this page since loading it from disk (and therefore need to write it back to disk when it's replaced)

Virtual Memory: A S/W view

Problem: Translate
VIRTUAL ADDRESS
to PHYSICAL ADDRESS



```
int VtoP(int VPageNo, int PageOffset) {  
    if (R[VPageNo] == 0)  
        PageFault(VPageNo);  
    return (PPN[VPageNo] << p) | PageOffset;  
}
```

```
/* Handle a missing page... */  
void PageFault(int VPageNo) {  
    int i;  
  
    i = SelectLRUPage();  
    if (D[i] == 1)  
        WritePage(DiskAdr(i), PPN[i]);  
    R[i] = 0;  
  
    PPN[VPageNo] = PPN[i];  
    ReadPage(DiskAdr(VPageNo), PPN[i]);  
    R[VPageNo] = 1;  
    D[VPageNo] = 0;  
}
```

The HW/SW Balance

IDEA:


- devote **HARDWARE** to high-traffic, performance-critical path
- use (slow, cheap) **SOFTWARE** to handle exceptional cases

hardware	{	<pre>int VtoP(int VPageNo,int PO) { if (R[VPageNo] == 0)PageFault(VPageNo); return (PPN[VPageNo] << p) PO; }</pre>
software	{	<pre>/* Handle a missing page... */ void PageFault(int VPageNo) { int i = SelectLRUPage(); if (D[i] == 1) WritePage(DiskAdr(i),PPN[i]); R[i] = 0; PA[VPageNo] = PPN[i]; ReadPage(DiskAdr(VPageNo),PPN[i]); R[VPageNo] = 1; D[VPageNo] = 0; }</pre>

HARDWARE performs address translation, detects page faults:

- running program is interrupted (“suspended”);
- PageFault(...) is called;
- On return from PageFault; running program can continue


Page Map Arithmetic



- $(v + p)$ bits in virtual address
- $(m + p)$ bits in physical address
- 2^v number of VIRTUAL pages
- 2^m number of PHYSICAL pages
- 2^p bytes per physical page
- 2^{v+p} bytes in virtual memory
- 2^{m+p} bytes in physical memory
- $(m+2)2^v$ bits in the page map

Typical page size: 4K – 128K bytes
 Typical $(v+p)$: 32 or 64 bits
 Typical $(m+p)$: 27 – 33 bits
 (128 MB – 8 GB)

Example: Page Map Arithmetic



SUPPOSE...

32-bit Virtual address

2^{14} page size (16 KB)

2^{28} RAM (256 MB)

THEN:

$$\# \text{ Physical Pages} = \frac{2^{28}}{2^{14}} = 16384$$

$$\# \text{ Virtual Pages} = \frac{2^{32}}{2^{14}} = 2^{18}$$


$$\# \text{ Page Map Entries} = \frac{262,144}{1}$$

Use SRAM for page map??? **OUCH!**

RAM-Resident Page Maps

SMALL page maps can use dedicated RAM...
but, gets this approach gets expensive for big ones!

SOLUTION: Move page map into MAIN MEMORY:



PROBLEM:


Each memory reference now takes 2 accesses to physical memory!

- 1) Load VPN \rightarrow PPN
- 2) Load Mem[PPN | PO]

Translation Look-aside Buffer (TLB)

PROBLEM: 2x performance hit... each memory reference now takes 2 accesses!

SOLUTION: a special CACHE of recently used page map entries



TLB: small, usually fully-associative cache for mapping VPN→PPN

IDEA:



LOCALITY in memory reference patterns → SUPER locality in references to page map

VARIATIONS:

- sparse page map storage
- paging the page map

Optimizing Sparse Page Maps

For large Virtual Address spaces only a small percentage of page table entries contain Mappings. This is because some address ranges are never used by the application. How can we save space in the pagemap?



For Example:
VA 2^{64} , 8Kb pages, PA 2^{36}

How large of a page table?

$$2^{64-13} = 4 * 2^{51} = 2^{53} \text{ bytes}$$

physical page number
At most, how many could have a resident mapping?

$$2^{36-13} = 2^{23}$$

$$2^{23}/2^{51} = 3.7 \times 10^{-9}$$

On TLB miss:

- look up VPN in “sparse” data structure (e.g., a list of VPN-PPN pairs)
- only have entries for ALLOCATED pages
- use hashing to speed up the search
- allocate new entries “on demand”
- time penalty? LOW if TLB hit rate is high...

Another good reason to handle page misses in SW

Multilevel Page Maps


Given a HUGE virtual memory, the cost of storing all of the page map entries in RAM may STILL be too expensive...

SOLUTION: A hierarchical page map... take advantage of the observation that while the virtual memory address space is large, it is generally sparsely populated with clusters of pages.

Consider a machine with a 32-bit virtual address space and 64 MB (26-bit) of physical memory that uses 4 KB pages.

Assuming 4 byte page-table entries, a single-level page map requires 4MB (>6% of the available memory). Of these, more than 98% will reference non-resident pages (Why?).

A 2-level look-up increases the size of the worse-case page table slightly. However, if a first level entry has its non-resident bit set it saves large amounts of memory.



Example: Mapping VAs to PAs

Suppose

- virtual memory of 2^{32} (4G) bytes
- physical memory of 2^{30} (1G) bytes
- page size is 2^{14} (16 K) bytes

VPN	R	D	PPN
0	0	0	2
1	1	1	7
2	1	0	0
3	1	0	5
4	0	0	5
5	1	0	3
6	1	1	2
7	1	0	4
8	1	0	1
...			

1. How many pages can be stored in physical memory at once?

$$2^{30-14} = 2^{16} = 64K$$

2. How many entries are there in the page table?

$$2^{32-14} = 2^{18} = 256K$$

3. How many bits are necessary per entry in the page table? (Assume each entry has PPN, resident bit, dirty bit)

$$16 \text{ (PPN)} + 2 = 18$$

4. How many pages does the page table require?

$$(4 * 2^{18}) / 2^{14} = 2^6 = 64$$


5. A portion of the page table is given to the left. What is the physical address for virtual address 0x00004110?

Contexts

A context is a complete set of mappings from VIRTUAL to PHYSICAL locations, as dictated by the full contents of the page map:




We might like to support multiple VIRTUAL to PHYSICAL Mappings and, thus, multiple Contexts.




Several programs may be simultaneously loaded into main memory, each in its separate context:

“Context Switch”:
Reload the page map!

You end up with pages from different applications simultaneously in memory.



Contexts: A Sneak Preview



Every application can be written as if it has access to all of memory, without considering where other applications reside.

1. TIMESHARING among several programs --

- Separate context for each program
- OS loads appropriate context into pagemap when switching among pgms

First Glimpse at a VIRTUAL MACHINE


2. Separate context for OS “Kernel” (eg, interrupt handlers)...

- “Kernel” vs “User” contexts
- Switch to Kernel context on interrupt;
- Switch back on interrupt return.

HARDWARE SUPPORT: 2 HW pagemaps

Example: UltraSPARC II MMU


Huge 64-bit address space
(only 44-bits implemented)



Using Caches with Virtual Memory

Virtual Cache

Tags match virtual addresses




These TAGs are virtual, they represent addresses before translation.

- Problem: cache becomes invalid after context switch
- FAST: No MMU time on HIT

Physical Cache

Tags match physical addresses


These TAGs are physical, they hold addresses after translation.



- Avoids stale cache data after context switch
- SLOW: MMU time on HIT

Counter intuitively perhaps, physically addressed Caches are the trend, because they better support parallel processing

Best of Both Worlds



OBSERVATION: If cache line selection is based on unmapped page offset bits, RAM access in a physical cache can overlap page map access. Tag from cache is compared with physical page number from MMU.

Want “small” cache index → go with more associativity

Summary

Virtual Memory

Makes a small PHYSICAL memory appear to be a large VIRTUAL one

Break memory into manageable chunks PAGES

Pagemap

A table for mapping Virtual-to-Physical pages

Each entry has Resident, Dirty, and Physical Page Number

Can get large if virtual address space is large

Store in main memory

TLB – Translation Look-aside Buffer

A pagemap cache

Contexts –

Sets of virtual-to-physical mapping that allow pages from multiple applications to be in physical memory simultaneously (even if they have the same virtual addresses)


What's next?

Some options...


ARGH!- I can never remember all the new course numbers!



Comp 411 was necessarily broad




... but not very deep



Undergrad Options

Graduate Options

System Design



2013

Tablet computing, Client computing (Chrome, HTML 5), Cloud computing, E-commerce, Android, Arduino, Video Games, Wireless, Streaming Media, ...

Von Neumann Architectures, Multi-Core Procedures, Objects, Processes
(hidden: pipelining, superscalar, SIMD, ...)

CMOS: 2.2 billion transistors/chip
(2011 6-core i7 Sandy Bridge)
10x transistors every 5 years
1% performance/week!

2022?

Natural language/speech interfaces, Computer vision, synthesized video, field-programmable microbes, direct brain interfaces, human augmentation ...

Von Neumann Architecture???
1024-way multicore?
Neural Nets?

CMOS:
220 billion transistors
20 GHz clock

Computer Science is the fastest changing field in the history of mankind!

THE END!

*Computers are tools
that are designed to realize
a programmer's dreams.*

*The only problem
with Haiku is that you just
get started and then...*

