## Comp 555 - BioAlgorithms - Spring 2022



- Midterm next THURSDAY
- STUDY session Next TUESDAY NIGHT?

Adventures in Dynamic Programming

## Algorithm Correctness

- An algorithm is correct only if it produces correct result for every valid input instance
- An algorithm is incorrect answer if it cannot produce a correct result for one or more input instances,
- Coin change problem
- Input: an amount of money $M$ in cents, and a list of coin denominations [ $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}$ ]
- Output: the smallest number of coins, $t$, that add to $M$ (may not be unique)
- US coin change problem



## US Coin Change



## Change Problem

- Input:
- an amount of money M
- an array of denominations $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{d}\right)$ in order of decreasing value
- Output: the smallest number of coins



## A "Greedy" change approach

- Key idea: Use as many of the largest available coin denomination so long as the sum is less than or equal to the change amount

```
In [3]:
```

```
def greedyChange(amount, denominations):
```

def greedyChange(amount, denominations):
\# Goal is to produce the fewest coins to achieve
\# Goal is to produce the fewest coins to achieve
\# given target "amount"
\# given target "amount"
\# Strategy: Give as many of the largest coin
\# Strategy: Give as many of the largest coin
\# denomination that is less than amount.
\# denomination that is less than amount.
solution = []
solution = []
for coin in denominations:
for coin in denominations:
i = amount // coin \# truncating integer divide
i = amount // coin \# truncating integer divide
solution.append(i)
solution.append(i)
amount -= coin * i
amount -= coin * i
return solution
return solution
s1 = greedyChange(72, [25,10,5,1])
s1 = greedyChange(72, [25,10,5,1])
print(s1, sum(s1))
print(s1, sum(s1))
s2 = greedyChange(40, [25,10,5,1])
s2 = greedyChange(40, [25,10,5,1])
print(s2, sum(s2))
print(s2, sum(s2))
s3 = greedyChange(40, [25,20,10,5,1])
s3 = greedyChange(40, [25,20,10,5,1])
print(s3, sum(s3))
print(s3, sum(s3))
[2, 2, 0, 2] 6
[2, 2, 0, 2] 6
[1, 1, 1, 0] 3
[1, 1, 1, 0] 3
[1, 0, 1, 1, 0] 3

```
[1, 0, 1, 1, 0] 3
```


## But first a trick

- How to iterate through products like itertools.products()
- How to count

```
# A trick for creating counting sequences without recursion
# Idea, add one to the first digit until the base is reached
# if the base is reached add one to the next digit and test
# and so on
base = 3
digits = 3
count = [0 for i in range(digits)]
while True:
    print(count)
    for i in range(digits):
        count[i] += 1
        if (count[i] < base):
        break
        count[i] = 0
    if (sum(count) == 0):
        break
    il
        break
```

$[1,2,1]$
$[2,2,1]$
$[0,0,2]$
$[1,0,2]$
$[2,0,2]$
$[0,1,2]$
$[1,1,2]$
$[2,1,2]$
$[0,2,2]$
$[1,2,2]$
$[2,2,2]$

## Another Approach?

- Let's bring back brute force
- Test every coin combination (where each denomination is less than 100) to see if it adds up to our target
- Is there exhaustive search algorithm?


## Like

 counting but every digitIn [8]:

```
def exhaustiveChange(amount, denominations):
    bestN = 100
    count = [0 for i in range(len(denominations))]
    while True:
        for i, coinValue in enumerate(denominations):
            count[i] += 1
            if (count[i]*coinValue < 100):
                    break
                count[i] = 0
            n = sum(count)
            if n == 0:
                break
            value = sum([count[i]*denominations[i] for i in range(len(denominations))])
            if (value == amount):
                if (n < bestN)
                    solution = [count[i] for i in range(len(denominations))]
                    bestN = n
    return solution
%time print(exhaustiveChange(40,[25,20,10,5,1]))
```

$[0,2,0,0,0]$
CPU times: user 688 ms , sys: 0 ns, total: 688 ms
Wall time: 672 ms

## Correct, but costly

- Our algorithm now gets the right answer for every value $1 . .100$
- It must, because it considers every possible answer (that's the good thing about brute force)
- There is a downside though

In [16]: 1 \%time print(exhaustiveChange(40, [25, 10, 5, 1]))
2 \%time print(exhaustiveChange(40, $[25,20,10,5,1]))$
3 \%time print(exhaustiveChange(40, $[13,11,7,5,3,1]))$

```
[1, 1, 1, 0]
CPU times: user 155 ms, sys: 0 ns, total: 155 ms
Wall time: }149\textrm{ms
[0, 2, 0, 0, 0]
CPU times: user 632 ms, sys: 0 ns, total: 632 ms
Wall time: }628\textrm{ms
[0, 3, 1, 0, 0, 0]
CPU times: user 2min 50s, sys: 0 ns, total: 2min 50s
Wall time: 2min 50s
```


## Other tricks?

## A Branch-and-bound algorithm, almost identical to brute force

```
def branchAndBoundChange(amount, denominations):
    bestN = amount
    count = [0 for i in range(len(denominations))]
    while True:
        for i, coinValue in enumerate(denominations):
            count[i] += 1
                # Set upper bound to amount rather than 100
                break
                count[i] =
        n = sum(count)
        if n == 0:
            break
        if ( }\textrm{n}>>\mp@code{bestN):
            continue
                # don't compute the amount if there are too many coins
        value = sum([count[i]*denominations[i] for i in range(len(denominations))])
        if (value == amount)
            if (n < bestN)
                solution = [count[i] for i in range(len(denominations))]
                        bestN = n
    return solution
%time print(branchAndBoundChange(40, [13,11,7,5,3,1]))
```

[0, 3, 1, 0, 0, 0]
CPU times: user 317 ms , sys: 0 ns , total: 317 ms
Wall time: 299 ms

BTW, this is an terative
branch-and-bound. meaning that branch-and-bound can be
implemented
without recursion
..Correct, and it works well for many cases, but can be as slow as an exhaustive search for some inputs (try 99).

## Is there another Approach?

Tabulating Answers

- If it is costly to compute the answer for a given input, then there may be advantages to caching the result of previous calculations in a table
- This trades-off time-complexity for space
- How could we fill in the table in the first place?
- Run our best correct algorithm
- Can the table itself be used to speed up the process?



## Solutions using a Table

- Suppose you are asked to fill-in the unknown table entry for 67¢
- It must differ from a previously known optimal result by at most one coin...
- So what are the possibilities?
- BestChange(67 ) $=1+$ BestChange( $67-25=42 \dot{\zeta})$, or
- BestChange(67¢) $=1+$ BestChange( $67-20=47$ ¢ $)$, or
- BestChange(67¢̧) $=1+$ BestChange(67-10 = 57 ), or
- BestChange(67 $)=1+$ BestChange( $67-5=62 ¢$ ), or
- BestChange $(67 \grave{c})=1+$ BestChange $(65-1=66 \grave{¢})$
- The minimum of these must be the right answer

Looks like a

## A Recursive Coin-Change Algorithm

```
In [23]: def RecursiveChange(M, c):
    if (M == 0):
        return [0 for i in range(len(c))]
    smallestNumberOfCoins = M+1
    for i in range(len(c)):
        if (M >= c[i]):
            thisChange = RecursiveChange(M - c[i], c)
            thisChange[i] += 1
            if (sum(thisChange) < smallestNumberOfCoins):
                bestChange = thisChange
            smallestNumberOfCoins = sum(thisChange)
    return bestChange
%time print(RecursiveChange(40, [1,3,5,7,11,13]))
```

[1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 3]
CPU times: user 6min 43s, sys: 16 ms, total: 6min 43s
Wall time: 6min 43s

Oops... it got slower. Why?
(Not to mention, it found another "different" correct answer.)

## Recursion Recalculations

- Recursion often results in many redundant calls
- Even after only two levels of recursion 6 different change values are repeated multiple times
- How can we avoid this repetition?
- Cache precomputed results in a table!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Change }(40)= 25+\text { Change(15) } \\
& 25+10+\text { Change(5) } \\
& 25+5+\text { Change(10) } \\
& 20+ \text { Change(20) } \\
& 20+20+\text { Change }(0) \\
& 20+10+\text { Change(10) } \\
& 20+5+\text { Change(15) } \\
& 10+ \text { Change (30) } \\
& 10+25+\text { Change(5) } \\
& 10+20+\text { Change(10) } \\
& 10+10+\text { Change(20) } \\
& 10+5+\text { Change(25) } \\
& 5+\text { Change(35) } \\
& 5+25+\text { Change(15) } \\
& 5+20+\text { Change(10) } \\
& 5+10+\text { Change(25) } \\
& 5+5+\text { Change(30) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Back to Table Evaluation

- When do we fill in the values of our table?
- We could solve for change for every value from 1 up to $M$, then we'd be guaranteed to have found the best change for any value less than M when needed
- Thus, instead of just trying to find the minimal number of coins to change $M$ cents, we attempt the solve the superficially harder problem of solving for the optimal change for all values from 1 to M

| $1 C=[0,0,0,0,1]$ | $2 C=[0,0,0,0,2]$ | $3 C=[0,0,0,0,3]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |



## Change via Dynamic Programming

```
In [21]: def DPChange(M, c):
    change = [[0 for i in range(len(c))]]
    for m in range(1,M+1):
        bestNumCoins = m+1
        for i in range(len(c)):
            if (m >= c[i]):
                thisChange = [x for x in change[m - c[i]]]
                thisChange[i] += 1
            if (sum(thisChange) < bestNumCoins):
                bestCombination = [v for v in thisChange]
                bestNumCoins = sum(thisChange)
        change.append(bestCombination)
    return change[M]
%time print(DPChange(40, [1,3,5,7,11,13]))
%time print(DPChange(40, [1,3,5,7,11,13,17]))
%time print(DPChange(40, [1,3,5,7,11,13,17,19]))
%time print(DPChange(42, [13,11, 7,5,3,1]))
```

- BruteForceChange() was $0\left(\mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{M}}\right)$
- DPChange() is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Md})$



## A Hybrid Approach: Memoization

- Often we can simply modify a recursive algorithm to "cache" the result of previous invocations
- Filling in the table lazily as needed... as each call to progresses from $M$ down to 1
- This "lazy evaluated" form of dynamic programming is often called "Memoization"

```
In [34]: M change = {}
# This is a cache for saving bestChange[M]
def MemoizedChange(M, c):
    global change
    if (M in change): # Check the cache first
        return [v for v in change[M]]
    if (len(change) == 0): # Initialize cache
        change[0] = [0 for i in range(len(c))]
    smallestNumberOfCoins = M+1
    for i in range(len(c)):
        if (M >= c[i]):
            thisChange = MemoizedChange(M - c[i], c)
            thisChange[i] += 1
            if (sum(thisChange) < smallestNumberOfCoins):
                bestchange = [v for v in thisChange]
                smallestNumberOfCoins = sum(thisChange)
    change[M] = [v for v in bestChange]
    return bestChange
%time print(MemoizedChange(40, [1,3,5,7,11,13]))
[1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 3]
CPU times: user 541 \mus, sys: 0 ns, total: 541 \mus
Wall time: 477 \mus
```


## Dynamic Programming

- Dynamic Programming is a general technique for computing recurrence relations efficiently by storing partial or intermediate results
- Three keys to constructing a dynamic programming solution:

1. Formulate the answer as a recurrence relation
2. Consider all instances of the recurrence at each step
3. Order evaluations so you will always have precomputed the needed partial results

- Memoization is an easy way to convert recursive solutions to a DP
- We'll see it again, and again


## Next Time

- On to sequence alignment
- But first we'll learn how to navigate in Manhattan


